IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA, HUNTINGTON DIVISION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT C. CHAMBERS, JUDGE

---000---

CLAUDE R. KNIGHT and CLAUDIA STEVENS, individually and as personal representatives of the Estate of BETTY ERLENE KNIGHT, deceased.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 3:15-CV-06424

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant.

---000---

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2018, 1:30 P.M.

---000---

For the Plaintiffs: CHILDERS, SCHLUETER & SMITH

1932 North Druid Hills Road

Suite 100

Atlanta, Georgia 30319
BY: C. ANDREW CHILDERS
and RICHARD R. SCHLUETER

(Appearances continued next page...)

Reported by: KATHY L. SWINHART, CSR

Official Court Reporter

(304) 528-2244

Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

```
1
                           APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
 3
       For the Defendant:
 4
                      TUCKER ELLIS
 5
                      925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 1150
                      Cleveland, Ohio 44115
                      BY: JOHN Q. LEWIS
 6
 7
                      TUCKER ELLIS
                      950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100
 8
                      Cleveland, Ohio 44113
                      BY: MADELINE B. DENNIS
 9
10
                      COVINGTON & BURLING
11
                      One City Center
                      850 Tenth Street NW
12
                      Washington, D.C. 20001
                      BY: PHYLLIS ALENE JONES
13
                      and NICHOLAS HAILEY
14
                      JACKSON KELLY
15
                      Post Office Box 553
                      Charleston, West Virginia 25322
                      BY: GRETCHEN M. CALLAS
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
1
 1
                        HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA
 2
                  TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2018, 1:26 P.M.
 3
                                 ---000---
 4
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 5
              MR. CHILDERS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. LEWIS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Do we have everyone here we
      expect and needed?
 8
 9
              MR. CHILDERS: Yes, sir.
10
              THE COURT: All right. I've gone over the amended
11
      pretrial order. It appears that the only thing the parties
12
      identified as being a matter for the Court to decide prior to
13
      trial were the deposition designations; is that right?
14
              MR. CHILDERS: That's correct, Judge. And we are
15
      still working through those and communicating with your law
16
      clerks. We would like a little bit more time today, and we're
17
      making progress to reduce the amount of things we have to ask
18
      you to rule on.
19
              THE COURT: Well, as anxious as I am to redo
20
      deposition excerpts, I'll certainly wait and give you a little
21
      more time to see if you can resolve them.
22
              At this point, do you have any sense of the number or
23
      the volume? I'd like to have some idea, if things don't work
24
      out, about how much I'm going to have to devote to this.
25
          (Off the record.)
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2. THE COURT: Please make sure your microphones are on and use them. The acoustics are not very good in this courtroom. MR. CHILDERS: I'm not sure if I could estimate that There are a total of 13 depositions, and I don't -actually there is more than that. You guys have some as well. Probably 15 to 18 depositions. I don't -- it's hard for me to tell you how many objections there --THE COURT: Well, and so are you talking about 13 or more depositions of which there are a limited number of excerpts that are at issue? Or are you talking about something broader than just excerpts, questions and answers here and there, or both? MR. CHILDERS: I'd say the ones that haven't been discussed very broadly have objections. The ones that we have discussed have been very much narrowed down to --

THE COURT: Great.

MR. CHILDERS: -- some precise issues.

THE COURT: Well, when do you expect to finish up with whatever you can do by agreement?

MR. CHILDERS: By early next week at the latest.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'd certainly like to have whatever you can't resolve presented to the Court either at the end of business on Tuesday or first thing Wednesday,

```
3
 1
      Wednesday morning.
 2
              And then at this point, how do you expect to tender
 3
      those matters to the Court?
 4
              Are you just going to hand us depositions and say
      objections are at these pages and --
 5
 6
              MR. CHILDERS: I think we'd be open to however the
 7
      Court would prefer we do that, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Well, it's hard for me to tell that until
 9
      I see some of them frankly.
10
              MS. JONES: Your Honor --
11
              MR. CHILDERS: That's how we have exchanged -- I'm
12
      sorry.
13
              We've exchanged them as here are the lines and pages
14
      we object to --
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
              MR. CHILDERS: -- without the testimony attached.
17
              MS. JONES: Your Honor, good afternoon. Phyllis
18
      Jones.
19
              I think from our perspective, many of the issues
      related to the deposition designations, at least for the
20
21
      company witnesses, fall into topical buckets. So there are
22
      certain issues that, once we have guidance from the Court on
23
      the broader issue, we probably can sort out the actual page
24
      and line.
25
              So I think, from our perspective, what might be most
```

sensible is for us to make some kind of submission that highlights what those buckets of issues are and then submit something that actually gives you the more granular page and line to the extent that that is useful to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. And when would you expect to be able to do that?

MS. JONES: Well, I think the timeline that

Mr. Childers has laid out and what Your Honor mentioned is

entirely feasible given the schedule that we're working on at
the moment.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then may I expect that each side will submit no later than let's say noon on Wednesday whatever memoranda in support of your objections along with the designation of the deposition by the name of the deposed witness and then the specific pages and/or lines where the objections are raised?

MS. JONES: That's fine with us, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, you know, since I can only guess that maybe it's going to turn out to be voluminous, I guess what I'd like to do is have you go ahead and provide a hard copy of that, at least for me. It's a lot easier for me to work from a hard copy than on the computer. So I would like each side to do that as well.

So then other than the deposition -- well, and then -- just to finish the thought on this before we move on.

So I'll -- once you submit those to me, I'll read through them. I'll try to rule on it as much as possible during next week. Certainly if there are areas where I need argument, I guess we'll just have to wait and do that after we get started.

We are picking a jury Monday morning, October 1st, so we may have to deal with some of this when we have gaps or can take a break from jury selection or the jury process.

All right?

MS. JONES: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So then my deputy clerk gave you all copies of the jury questionnaire. I took what you submitted, made some additions and changes to it, and sent it out to -- I think we ended up with a net of about 87 jurors on the panel who received this.

Yesterday was their deadline for responses. And today, just before I came in, the clerk's office brought me 67 of the 87 that were sent out. 67 have been returned. She indicated, the clerk downstairs indicated that she had pending phone calls from a few people that she assumed were maybe about this. She's going to send another message to these folks reminding them, those 20 who hadn't sent their questionnaires back, that they need to do so immediately.

So my thought is that we'll probably see what we get in the mail tomorrow. And then after that, I'm going to

start -- or at that point I'm going to start going through these.

As you can see from the questionnaire, the first question deals really with an employment hardship or something like that. I feel like that is up to the Court's discretion to resolve. And so I'm going to go through these and examine those who have some type of a hardship like that and determine whether or not to excuse them. So I may excuse them, I may not.

Then the bulk of the other questions are obviously matters that pertain to some knowledge or experience about something that may well be relevant to the issues to be tried. Those I don't intend to address at all at this point. I think those are matters that we will have to deal with when we do jury selection.

So what I would expect to do is, by the end of this week, excuse those individuals who have reported some hardship. And then with what is left, we will have a pool of jurors who have completed the questionnaire and sent it in and not been excused for a hardship. And I intend to take some number of those, probably 40 to 50, and have the clerk randomly pick 40 to 50 of those by computer. And those will be the people who will be informed that they must be here on October 1st at 8:30.

And when they assemble, we'll then start our usual

jury selection process. We will provide to you copies of the questionnaires submitted by the 40 or 50 that we have show up here, and then we'll conduct voir dire.

I know each side has offered additional and proposed voir dire questions. I've looked through them, and I don't see much at issue. A lot of it is already addressed in this questionnaire, but we'll be able to do more follow up and individual questioning of jurors as necessary from -- on Monday. So that is generally the way I expect to handle it.

In our clerk's office, when jurors assemble -- and I've said 40 to 50. I just haven't had a chance to think through how many people as jurors I want to show up here, but it will be probably in that range.

And then once they're here, the clerk's office assigns each of them a random number, No. 1 through 40 or whatever the number is, and they're given stickers to wear on their clothing that identifies them by that number. And then when we're ready here, the clerk's office will send them up, and they'll be seated in numerical order here in the courtroom, and then that's when I'll conduct the voir dire.

Hopefully by about next Wednesday, if not sooner, I will forward to counsel proposed preliminary instructions and proposed voir dire and give you a chance to respond to that and, if necessary, we can take it up the morning we start the trial.

I don't know if you all asked me before, so I'll tell you my usual approach is that I'll do the voir dire here in the open courtroom. Then it's typically the case that we have individual follow up for a number of jurors. And so my general practice is to reconvene in the conference room and literally go in numerical order to ask counsel if there is individual follow up that they believe we should conduct in private in the conference room. We will call the juror in and do that.

I usually let the lawyers participate in that follow up as long as they're reasonable and fair questions. But we'll go through all of that individual voir dire and then after that do strikes.

I like to use all of the jurors in that once I've excused jurors for cause, we'll reduce down to some number, and then I'll divide the -- we're going to need eight jurors, and so -- you know, we don't use alternates in federal court, all jurors participate. We have to have six to go to verdict unless the parties stipulate to the contrary. So as a result, we'll have eight jurors. So I'll divide up the number of strikes remaining and maybe give you more strikes than usual just depending on how many jurors have been excused for cause.

So any questions about any of this at this point?

MR. CHILDERS: I apologize for not knowing this.

What's the usual number of strikes?

```
10
 1
      Just a couple questions.
 2
              So if I understand correctly, the parties basically
 3
      strike down to eight jurors --
 4
              THE COURT: Right.
 5
              MR. LEWIS: -- essentially?
 6
              Okay. And reverse engineer the number of
 7
      peremptories?
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 9
                          Okay. And then on the questionnaires, do
              MR. LEWIS:
      we get those before the morning of jury selection?
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
                                Yes.
12
              My plan would be that I'm -- my goal is to go through
13
      these questionnaires on or before Friday, excuse for hardship
14
      any of those that the Court deems appropriate, and then that
15
      will leave us with a pool of 60 or 70 or 80. And then I'll
16
      have the clerk's office downstairs draw from that pool 40 or
17
      50 or some number. And once we do that, those will be the
      people who we will have identified and confirmed to them that
18
19
      they must show up. And at that point, I think by the first of
20
      the week, we would be able to send to you the names and the
21
      questionnaires.
22
              MR. LEWIS: Okay. Great. Thank you.
23
              THE COURT: You'll have those in advance of starting
24
      the jury selection --
25
              MR. LEWIS: Thank you.
```

THE COURT: -- well before.

MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay?

I know there had been some talk or discussion maybe about logistics for counsel. At this point, I think we can offer you two rooms. Unfortunately we just don't have a lot of space in this building, and there was no thought at the time of the courthouse being constructed here to have counsel rooms and so forth, but we've got an option that I think would work quite well.

So down the hall from here, we have a conference room. We use it as a witness room, so sequestered witnesses are often seated in there, and that is very close to the courtroom. So what I'm inclined to do is to say that the party who is putting on their case, plaintiffs first, would have use of that room as their staging room, so to speak, while putting on their case, how many ever days that takes.

And then downstairs, the clerk's office tells me they have a training room that has tables. Obviously it's got electrical outlets and perhaps even computer cables and stuff, but there is nothing in there. There is no equipment in there at all. So I would -- we're going to confirm this, but I'm likely to say that the party not putting on their case can use that as their staging room.

And then it looks to me like witnesses are not going

to be really numerous, and that they're going to be examined at length, so I don't think we're going to have six or eight people as witnesses sitting around waiting to testify. So I'll figure out some other place for witnesses to be told to wait.

Will the parties expect the witnesses to be sequestered or do you care in this case?

MR. CHILDERS: I don't think there are that many live witnesses that it's really going to matter.

THE COURT: Well, think about it. I don't need to address that yet. We've got literally places down the hall where there is some seating out in the hallway or other places. So if we're just going to have one or two witnesses every few hours, then it shouldn't be a problem not having a conference room for them to wait in. But I'll let you know. And if you don't care, then they can sit in the courtroom.

MR. CHILDERS: Okay.

MS. JONES: Your Honor, may I just raise one other mundane question?

To the extent that we wanted to be able to bring lunch into the courtroom, is that permitted or do we need --

THE COURT: Well, not into the courtroom.

MS. JONES: Not the courtroom, I apologize. The courthouse.

THE COURT: Yes, you can do that. And we would expect

you to just take it to whichever one of these two staging rooms you're occupying at the time.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CHILDERS: And the staging rooms, are we allowed to leave things there overnight or do we need to take it out?

THE COURT: No, I think you can leave things there overnight for the duration --

MR. CHILDERS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- of your occupancy of it at least.

So we've discussed jury selection and voir dire.

I also will prepare preliminary instructions. It's generally very simple. The first part is boilerplate language that simply tells the jury that they're the triers of fact, how to judge witnesses, et cetera.

And then we provide a relatively brief statement of the elements of the claims and the elements of the defenses, without elaboration or argument, just to give the jury a grasp of the issues that they'll be expected to consider as they hear the testimony. So I will expect to have that out to you by the middle or end of next week at the latest with the proposed voir dire, and then we can take up any objections.

You've both submitted proposed instructions Friday, so

I haven't looked at them yet. From looking at the amended

pretrial order, there doesn't seem to be a great deal of

argument, maybe with one or two exceptions, about what the

claims are and the elements and so forth. So I expect it to be a generalized statement for the jury. I don't want to get into a very detailed or elaborate instruction about the claims and defenses before the jury has even heard openings or any evidence. So that's my usual approach to it.

So anything else on your all's agenda that -- you're both, I take it, aware you have to contact our IT people and go through the process of figuring how to use this equipment? None of us here are troubleshooters. We don't use it, we just watch it, so don't expect us to help you. We can't save you if you are in front of the jury and struggling to get something to play.

If you expect that you've got something that is complicated, make sure that the IT people you talk to know about it. And if you think for some reason that, you know, there is some concern that maybe we need to have somebody from IT available, we can look into that. But otherwise, those people are in Charleston, an hour away, and so they are not going to be here magically unless we tell them to expect to be here. So if that's a possibility, we could ask them to make sure somebody is quickly available.

MS. JONES: Your Honor, just a couple of things from, I guess, our side.

One is, we had filed a motion to bifurcate that I -THE COURT: I was going to take that up next.

15 1 MS. JONES: -- think was unopposed, but I --2 THE COURT: There was no response filed, as I recall. 3 And I think we discussed it briefly before, so I'm glad you're 4 bringing it up now. 5 I take it that the plaintiffs have no objection to 6 bifurcating the punitive damage claim? 7 MR. CHILDERS: That's correct, Your Honor. That's my 8 understanding how you have to do it. 9 THE COURT: Right. So I will grant the motion. 10 will consider the punitive damage issue to be bifurcated from 11 the trial on the merits. It seems to me by doing that we 12 eliminate the need or relevancy in the plaintiffs' case in chief on liability as to the financial standing of the company 13 14 and matters to the relevance only of punitive damages. 15 You have tried a bunch of these cases. I haven't. 16 if you think there are specific areas of evidence that may be 17 arguably inappropriate for the liability phase, I would expect 18 the defense to raise that with me and let me know and let the 19 plaintiffs know. But, generally speaking, it's just a matter 20 of bifurcating the issue and some of the evidence pertaining 21 to the financial standing of the defendant. 22 Okay. And you had something else? 23 MS. JONES: One other issue, Your Honor. 24 You might have seen in the PTO that we had agreed on

certain arrangements for exchanging information about

Case 3:15-cv-06424 Document 150 Filed 09/24/18 Page 18 of 33 PageID #: 7365 16 1 exhibits --2 THE COURT: Right. -- to be used, exchanging opening and 3 MS. JONES: 4 closing slides. 5 THE COURT: Right. 6 MS. JONES: Those are basically the protocols we've used in the prior trials for these cases, but we wanted to be 7 8 sure that we had a sense from Your Honor if that is acceptable 9 to you. And if there are objections or issues that need to be worked out, how you would like us to approach that. 10 11 THE COURT: Generally speaking, I'm willing to ratify 12 the agreement that counsel have reached and expressed in the 13 pretrial order. I don't have any issue with any of it. 14 there is something that comes up that one party believes 15 should excuse them from or result in a modification of the 16 requirement, I would expect you to bring that to the Court's 17 attention if you can't work it out. 18 MS. JONES: And to the extent that we have issues 19 related to exhibits in connection with that process, are those things that Your Honor would prefer that we raise -- obviously 20 21 as soon as we know about them, but -- in the morning before 22 court begins? 23 THE COURT: Yes. Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

24

25

THE COURT: You know, generally speaking what I try to

do with the jury is basically a nine-to-five day. If it seems that there are going to be a lot of things that need to be taken up in the morning before the jury, you know, I would consider bringing the jury in a little bit later, 9:30 or 10:00. But I expect you to bring those matters to the Court's attention.

Anything else on your all's minds that you need to talk about?

MR. CHILDERS: Your Honor, do you anticipate that we'll do opening statements on the Monday that we select the jury, or do you expect that will take all day?

THE COURT: Well, you know, generally even in some of the lengthier, more complicated trials that we've done here, we've had the jury impaneled and sworn in time to do opening statements on the first day. And I would certainly like to do that, if possible, so I would expect counsel to be prepared for that.

I guess maybe it's unrealistic to think that you would be able to present any evidence, but it would sure be good if the plaintiffs have some evidence that they could present on the first day, if we get to that point.

It's hard to tell how long this will take. You know,

I expect to have a little bit better idea after I've gone

through these questionnaires and see how many jurors have some

experience or knowledge with respect to the medical side of

this case, and that will tell us a lot about how extensive we're going to have to go into this, how extensively we're going to have to go into this with the jurors. I think that's going to be the key to how long it takes to do the voir dire.

MR. CHILDERS: And for the openings, is it an hour each side, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I am usually willing to give counsel what you all work out.

I also do not use a stopwatch for these things. I prefer to let the lawyers give their statements completely and only start reminding people when they're getting close to their time, and then I don't like to cut off people. I don't have the Court of Appeals lights here that tell you to sit down. So I would expect counsel to agree upon a time, and then I'll follow that. And only when you get close to that time am I going to do anything, and then I'll give you some signals to let you close your thoughts so that it doesn't just abruptly end.

MR. CHILDERS: Do you permit parties to split openings, closings as far as two different lawyers to speak to the jury as long as they stay within the time constraints?

THE COURT: If you ask me in advance, and if that's what you're asking, then the answer would be yes.

MR. CHILDERS: I'm not certain that we will, but we did previously, and we may do that again if that's okay with

```
Case 3:15-cv-06424 Document 150 Filed 09/24/18 Page 21 of 33 PageID #: 7368
                                                                    19
 1
      Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: I would permit either side to do that.
              MR. CHILDERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
 4
              MR. LEWIS: Just had one other thing, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 6
              MR. LEWIS: It really kind of relates to process, but
 7
      we've flagged in the pretrial order an evidentiary issue
 8
      related to medical records and the absence of some medical
 9
      records.
10
              THE COURT: Right.
11
              MR. LEWIS: Really not to have that issue decided
12
      right now, but more of a process question.
13
              When we have these types of issues, does the Court
14
      prefer that we sort of raise them ahead of time?
15
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
16
              MR. LEWIS: I mean, I see that issue being something
17
      that we might be talking to you about real early on in the
18
      case.
19
              THE COURT: Absolutely.
20
              MR. LEWIS: Okay.
21
                          Especially something like that where it
              THE COURT:
22
      may affect a number of different witnesses.
23
              MR. LEWIS:
                          Right.
```

THE COURT: You know, I would much prefer to deal with it in advance.

24

```
Case 3:15-cv-06424 Document 150 Filed 09/24/18 Page 22 of 33 PageID #: 7369
                                                                   20
 1
              MR. LEWIS: Before the jury gets in the box and --
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
              MR. LEWIS: Okay. I figured, and so I just wanted to
 3
 4
      make sure that's okay.
 5
                          I know there's also an exchange in there
      about some of the defendant's documents and their
 6
 7
      admissibility under 801(d) and --
 8
              MR. LEWIS: Right.
 9
              THE COURT: -- what that means.
10
              So if that is a matter that you folks can't work
11
      out --
12
              MR. LEWIS: Right.
13
              THE COURT: -- then I would like that --
14
              MR. LEWIS: Brought to your attention ahead of time.
15
              THE COURT: -- brought to my attention as early as
16
     possible to hear arguments and resolve it.
17
              MR. LEWIS: Fair enough. And we'll continue to work
18
      on that over the next couple of weeks to see if we can't
19
      resolve them. And if not, we'll let you know.
              THE COURT: You know, usually I don't start trials
20
21
      until Tuesday, and one of the reasons I generally do that
22
      is --
23
              MR. LEWIS: Oh, okay.
24
              THE COURT: -- it's really good to have Monday and
```

have the lawyers here to do all of these things the day before

trial.

MR. LEWIS: Right.

THE COURT: But given the length of this case, I was concerned that we needed to use every day of the week, so we don't have that benefit.

And I really don't want to have to drag you folks back here next week. I know -- I want to find out what has happened in your recent litigation, but knowing you're going to be here for a long time, I'd rather not make you come in here sometime late next week and have to do something if we can figure out a way to do it after you're already here and planning to be here.

So --

MR. LEWIS: I think --

THE COURT: -- whatever you can't work out about those things let's talk about, and maybe -- if nothing else, maybe we'll assume that we'll have a little bit lengthier session Monday after we pick a jury and let them go before we start getting into evidence, and I can hear argument about these things, if necessary.

MR. LEWIS: Right. Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. CHILDERS: The only thing on that particular issue, Your Honor, I think the issue they've raised will impact what we can and can't say in our opening statement.

And so --

```
THE COURT: Which one are we talking about now, the
 1
 2
      medical records?
              MR. CHILDERS: About the medical records --
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Whether there was a prior bleeding
 5
      incident?
 6
              MR. CHILDERS: Yes, sir.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. CHILDERS: And so I'm not sure how we could wait
 8
 9
      until --
              THE COURT: Well, fine. I don't disagree. I know you
10
11
      indicated you had three or four witnesses, the doctors who you
12
      expected to elicit testimony from, and it doesn't surprise me
13
      if you need to go over this.
14
              Perhaps what we ought to do is have the parties file
      something. And I guess the way I see it, the defense is
15
16
      trying to restrict plaintiffs' evidence, so I think the burden
17
      is on the defendant to assert its objection. And so I'd like
18
      you to file something and then have a fairly quick response
19
      and reply. And then I can at least look at it next week and,
      if not rule on it, maybe be prepared to deal with it pretty
20
21
      quickly on Monday.
22
              MR. LEWIS: That's fair.
23
              MR. CHILDERS: That's fine.
24
              MR. LEWIS: We'll get something on file.
25
              MR. CHILDERS: Absolutely.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: When would you expect to --
 2
              MR. LEWIS: Early next -- early next week? Too late?
 3
              THE COURT: Well, you know, honestly if it's a matter
 4
      you've already identified, I'd rather you file something this
 5
      week --
 6
              MR. LEWIS: Okay.
 7
              THE COURT: -- so that I can make them respond pretty
 8
      quickly.
 9
              MR. LEWIS: Right. Right.
              THE COURT: So today is Tuesday. If you could file
10
11
      something by the end of business Thursday?
              MR. LEWIS: Okay.
12
13
              THE COURT: I'll give you until the end of business
14
      Monday to respond?
15
              If you see it, and you think that there is some reason
16
      you need a little longer, you know, I'm probably okay with
17
      getting something like on Tuesday, but I think we have to do
18
      this pretty quickly.
19
              MR. CHILDERS: If I could beg for Tuesday only because
20
      this coming weekend is the last -- I'm going to spend it with
21
      my family.
22
              THE COURT: All right. We'll do that, then.
23
              So why don't you file it by the end of business, by
24
      5:00 Thursday; response by 5:00 next Tuesday.
25
              And then I'll give you 48 hours to reply?
```

```
24
 1
              MR. LEWIS: Fair enough.
              THE COURT: All right. That will get it to me by --
 2
 3
              MR. LEWIS: The end of next week. Okay.
 4
              Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
              MR. CHILDERS: And I would like to address one thing
 6
      if I could.
 7
              In sort of trying to narrow the issues that we've
      raised in the deposition objections and sort of figuring out
 8
 9
      the difference in this case from some of the others that
      you've heard -- this is the only case with a different dose of
10
11
      Pradaxa -- I believe we're going to finish before the three
12
      weeks is over, and I'm certainly endeavoring to make that
13
      happen.
14
              So if the Court would like us to use Monday to address
      all these things, I don't think that's going to cause us to go
15
      past our three-week time period. I think we would still end
16
17
     before three weeks.
18
              THE COURT: And not have the jury here on a Monday?
19
              MR. CHILDERS: Correct.
              And quite frankly, just because of the IT folks that
20
21
      we use to help us with all this, if we could have that actual
22
      day here in court with Your Honor to make those rulings, I
```

think that would make the trial go a lot smoother.

THE COURT: What do you all think?

MS. JONES: We have no objection to that if that is

KATHY L. SWINHART, Official Court Reporter (304) 528-2244

23

24

acceptable to Your Honor. With the understanding that we expect plaintiffs' case will move quickly, and we will certainly endeavor to move quickly as well, then I think it's probably fine.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I think we'll do that, then. I will have to send you some confirmation later today from my law clerk. I'll talk with the clerk's office downstairs. I don't think it's a problem.

I know that in order to get -- frankly to get these questionnaires out and back, the 87 people who received them were told you're to be here October 1st, so those people are already on notice to be here that Monday. I don't see that it's a problem for us now to tell them Tuesday. And in any event, we always have the call-in system, which we make them call in in advance, the day before whenever they are supposed to show up to make sure that they will still be here.

So I don't think it's a problem, but let me get back with my staff before I confirm that. But if that works, then we'll do a quick order that just says -- could we start at 10:00 on Monday morning to hear whatever I've got to hear?

MR. CHILDERS: That would be great for --

THE COURT: All right. So we'll assume that's the case, but I'll confirm it if we can. We'll do an order that schedules hearing argument on any motions or other matters on Monday at 10:00 and jury trial starting the next day.

```
1
              MR. CHILDERS:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: That would work real well for us, too.
 3
      wanted to make sure we had enough days for the trial.
 4
          (Off-the-record discussion with law clerk.)
 5
              THE COURT: My clerks noted for me while we know you
 6
      have filed your proposed jury instructions, if you can provide
 7
      via e-mail a Word document with your instructions.
 8
      should go to Blake, so we'll make sure we give you the
 9
      address, the e-mail address here in just a few minutes. But
10
      that would be helpful, too, so we can cut and paste with it
11
      much more effectively.
12
              Blake, why don't you just give them your number.
13
              MR. CHILDERS: I think we have it, Your Honor. We
14
      have e-mailed with him already.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Then Blake is the one to send them
16
      to.
17
          (Off-the-record discussion with courtroom deputy.)
              THE COURT: My courtroom deputy wants to have a brief
18
19
      discussion with you after we conclude about exhibit lists.
      And as I understand it, what you've told me in the pretrial
20
      order is that you expect to do an exhibit notebook at the end
21
22
      of the evidence, a joint notebook?
23
              MS. JONES: That's what we've done in the prior
24
      trials, but we're obviously happy to do whatever the Court
25
      prefers. But in the prior trials, we've had a set of all of
```

```
27
 1
      the exhibits that were admitted.
 2
              THE COURT: Yeah, I think that would be real helpful.
 3
              As I've read that, you don't intend to provide
 4
      notebooks to the jury during the presentation of evidence.
      agree with that, that that would be helpful.
 5
 6
          (Off-the-record discussion with courtroom deputy.)
 7
              THE COURT: And then we also expect you to file a list
 8
      of your exhibits. That will help us keep track of them and
 9
      properly identify them.
10
              And then because we expect these to be voluminous
11
      exhibits, to reduce them to a disk or disks at the end of the
12
      trial to submit to the clerk's office so that they can use
13
      disks rather than have to scan documents into the docket
14
      report.
15
              And if you need an explanation for that, Terry will
16
      have to give it to you.
17
              MR. CHILDERS: You're not speaking of all the
      exhibits, just the ones --
18
19
              THE COURT: The ones that are admitted.
20
              MS. JONES: And for the list of exhibits that we're
21
      filing, would it be helpful to have a Word version of that
22
      sent as well by e-mail?
23
              THE CLERK: Yes. We can talk about the logistics of
24
      what it should look like afterwards.
```

MS. JONES: Okay.

1 THE COURT: Do you have anything else on your lists of 2 matters to be discussed? 3 MS. JONES: Does Your Honor prefer to have a book of 4 the exhibits that are being used with a specific witness, hard 5 copy exhibits to hand up to the bench? 6 THE COURT: I like to have it. 7 MS. JONES: Okay. We can certainly do that. I just 8 wanted to -- sometimes judges say don't give me any paper at 9 all. THE COURT: Well, no, that is helpful. It is easier 10 11 for me to have something separately so I don't have to handle 12 the actual exhibits if I need to see something. 13 MS. JONES: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: Because we can lose them or get them 15 confused or mixed up quite easily passing them back and forth. 16 MS. JONES: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Okay? 18 MR. CHILDERS: In the prior trial, we've premarked all 19 of the exhibits. Do you want us to do that again? That makes them somewhat out of order. Is that okay, though, with the 20 21 Court? THE COURT: Out of order --22 23 MR. CHILDERS: It's not going to be Exhibit 1, Exhibit 24 2, Exhibit 3 because they are premarked with specific numbers. 25 THE COURT: I think it helps to premark just because

```
1
      it keeps it straight, and we have an easily identified list
 2
      that we can go by that tells us what each one is. And it
 3
      doesn't trouble me that you're presenting them out of
 4
      numerical order.
 5
              MR. CHILDERS: Okay. Great.
                                            Thank you.
 6
              THE COURT: You all are getting along so well, I just
 7
      can't believe you haven't settled this case.
 8
              What's happening in these recent trials? How many of
 9
      these cases have you tried since we were here back in the
10
      spring?
11
              MR. CHILDERS: None.
12
              THE COURT: None?
13
              MR. CHILDERS: There is one underway right now in
14
      Connecticut, but they just started.
15
              THE COURT: Oh, really?
16
              MR. CHILDERS: Yes, sir.
17
              THE COURT: I thought there were some scheduled in
18
      August.
19
              MR. CHILDERS: We had a trial scheduled in Columbus,
20
      Georgia in August, and we found out in July that the
21
      courthouse was going to be under renovation or was going to
22
      still be under renovation. I think they thought it was going
23
      to be finished earlier. They couldn't bring juries into the
24
      courtroom, so we've been reset for December 3rd.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. I take it still no change in the
```

```
1
      settlement posture of the parties?
 2
              My recollection was the defense had stated that the
 3
      client was unwilling to settle these cases or this case in
 4
      particular. Is that still the case?
 5
              MS. JONES: I think we're not -- we're not in a
 6
      different position than we were before, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, all right. Is there anything
 8
      else that we need to take up today? If not, thank you all for
 9
      being here.
              And let us know when you have reached whatever
10
11
      resolution you can on these deposition designations so we know
12
      when to expect that, but at the latest the time frame that we
13
      discussed.
14
              If there is nothing further, we stand adjourned.
              MR. CHILDERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
16
              MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This honorable
      court will be adjourned.
18
19
                (Proceedings were concluded at 2:05 p.m.)
20
                                 ---000---
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATION:
2	I, Kathy L. Swinhart, CSR, certify that the foregoing
3	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
4	above-entitled matter as reported on September 18, 2018.
5	
6	
7	September 24, 2018  DATE
8	
9	/s/ Kathy L. Swinhart KATHY L. SWINHART, CSR
10	Tarrier D. Swittenier, Odic
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	